

Stretching the limits of multiparticle entanglement

This is a Perspective on "k-stretchability of entanglement, and the duality of k-separability and k-producibility" by Szilárd Szalay, published in Quantum 3, 204 (2019).

By Géza Tóth (Theoretical Physics, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, P.O. Box 644, Bilbao E-48080, Spain).

Published: 2020-01-27, volume 4, page 30

Doi: <https://doi.org/10.22331/qv-2020-01-27-30>

Citation: Quantum Views 4, 30 (2020)

PRINT PAGE

The classification of entangled mixed states in multiparticle systems is a difficult task. Even for three-qubit pure states, six classes arise that are inequivalent under Stochastic Local Operations and Classical Communication (SLOCC), which can be used to define a classification of mixed states into six classes [1]. For pure states of more than three particles, there are already infinite number of classes [2]. So it is desirable to find coarser classifications.

One of the possible classifications is the following. We look for the largest particle group that contains particles entangled with each other while it is non-entangled with the rest. Then, we call the state 5, 10 or 50 particle entangled based on this [3,4]. The entanglement depth or k -producibility has been defined this way. In more detail, we call a pure state of N particles k -producible, if it can be written as

$$|\Psi_1\rangle \otimes |\Psi_2\rangle \otimes |\Psi_3\rangle \otimes \dots, \quad (1)$$

where each $|\Psi_l\rangle$ is of the state of at most k particles. A mixed state is k -producible if it is the mixture of pure k -producible states. If a quantum state is not k -producible then it is at least $(k + 1)$ -particle entangled, or it has at least an entanglement depth $k + 1$.

There have been many groundbreaking experiments putting a lower bound on the entanglement depth of the quantum system, aiming to produce larger and larger entanglement depth, creating entanglement depth in the thousands [5,6,7,8,9,10]. At this point, an important question arises. If we have 100 particles in a 20-particle entangled state, it can happen in various ways. For example, it can happen, that all twenty-particle groups are fully entangled

$$\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle^{\otimes 20} + |1\rangle^{\otimes 20}) \right]^{\otimes 5}, \quad (2)$$

or it can also happen that there is a single twenty-particle group that is genuine multiparticle entangled, while the rest of the particles are in the trivial $|0\rangle$ state

$$\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle^{\otimes 20} + |1\rangle^{\otimes 20}) \right] \otimes |0\rangle^{\otimes 80}. \quad (3)$$

It is natural to ask what further notions in entanglement theory can be used to distinguish these two cases.

The article of Sz. Szalay from the Wigner Research Centre for Physics in Budapest published in Quantum [11] is just doing that. The preliminary ideas, laid down in previous works [12,13,14], are as follows. First, on level I, the author characterizes the total system by the use of its *partitions*,

$$\xi = X_1|X_2|X_3|\dots, \quad (4)$$

where a part X_i is a subsystem, possibly consisting of several elementary subsystems (e.g., particles). ξ -*uncorrelated states* are just product states of the form

$$\varrho_1 \otimes \varrho_2 \otimes \varrho_3 \otimes \dots, \quad (5)$$

where ϱ_i lives on subsystem X_i . ξ -*separable states* are those which can be formed as mixtures of ξ -uncorrelated states, that is, states that are separable for the partitioning given by ξ . After the level I, the article defines level II and level III descriptions using fundamental set theory that can handle in a coherent way a large variety of relevant cases appearing in multiparticle systems. Level II is needed for handling mixtures of states uncorrelated with respect to different partitions. For example, considering three elementary subsystems A , B and C , the $\{AB|C, BC|A, AC|B\}$ -separable states are mixtures of $AB|C$ -uncorrelated, $BC|A$ -uncorrelated and $AC|B$ -uncorrelated states. These states are not considered tripartite entangled [1,15].

So level II is about the possible states from which the state can be mixed, then level III is about the possible states from which the state can be mixed *and* from which it cannot be mixed. For examples, besides the cases known earlier [1,15], we mention that there are states that are $\{AB|C, BC|A, AC|B\}$ -separable, but neither $\{AB|C, BC|A\}$ -separable, nor $\{AB|C, AC|B\}$ -separable, nor $\{BC|A, AC|B\}$ -separable; that is, to mix them, shared bipartite entanglement is needed in all the three bipartite subsystems [12,16]. Another example is that of the states which are $AB|C$ -separable (while not being $A|B|C$ -separable) and also $\{BC|A, AC|B\}$ -separable; that is, they can be mixed without shared bipartite entanglement in AB , if we have shared bipartite entanglement in BC and in AC . Such “roundabout” states [12,16] were constructed only recently [17].

In a nutshell, levels I and II describe the possible multipartite correlation and entanglement *properties*, and level III is about the *classification* in the strict sense. For the multipartite properties, correlation and entanglement measures are also constructed, generalizing the *mutual information* and the *entanglement of formation* or *relative entropy of entanglement* for the mutipartite scenario. Partial orders can be defined for the different sets on all the three levels, which give the structure of the notions on the different levels, and can be expressed in diagrams. The interesting properties of the structure of these is also the aim of recent research [18]. This approach is

compatible with the LO paradigm for correlation and the LOCC paradigm entanglement. The state sets arising on levels I and II are closed with respect to LO/LOCC, the measures are correlation/entanglement monotones, and on level III the partial order goes along the LO/LOCC convertibility among the classes.

The novel results of the manuscript, concerning the case when only *permutation invariant properties* are taken into account, fit well into this general framework. This restriction is well motivated when an ensemble of particles is described, which cannot be addressed one by one. For this, the same construction as the above is built up, but now based on *integer partitions*,

$$\hat{\xi} = x_1|x_2|x_3|\dots, \quad (6)$$

where x_l is a possible subsystem-size (e.g., particle number). $\hat{\xi}$ -*uncorrelated states* are just product states of the form

$$\varrho_1 \otimes \varrho_2 \otimes \varrho_3 \otimes \dots, \quad (7)$$

where now ϱ_l lives on a subsystem of size x_l , not specified, which one. $\hat{\xi}$ -*separable states* are those which can be formed as mixtures of $\hat{\xi}$ -uncorrelated states. Again, level II and level III descriptions can be formulated analogously.

Here comes an elaborate definition of k -producibility and k -partitionability in the above picture. A partition of the type (4) is k -*producible*, if all the subsystems contain *at most* k elementary subsystems, e.g., particles. A partition is k -*partitionable*, if the number of subsystems is *at least* k . Then, we can talk about k -producibly uncorrelated and k -producibly separable states. We can also define k -partitionably uncorrelated and k -partitionably separable states. (The k -producibly separable states are called k -producible states in entanglement theory, while k -partitionably separable states are k -separable states. The article uses the more general naming, because it considers correlation and entanglement in parallel, and the name “ k -separably uncorrelated” would not make sense.)

Then, *Young diagrams* are used to represent the permutationally invariant case. This is very expressive: what matters is to know how many times the various subsystem sizes appear, and it is not important, which elementary subsystems a given subsystem consists of. In a Young diagram, every row of x_l squares indicates a group of x_l elementary subsystems forming a subsystem. A Young diagram of horizontal size k and vertical size k' correspond to a partition being k -producible and k' -partitionable. The *conjugation* of Young diagrams, which is the flip with respect to the diagonal, interchanges the horizontal and vertical sizes, establishing an interesting *duality*, connecting producibility and partitionability.

Finally, *stretchability*, appearing in the title, is the difference of producibility and partitionability. Hence, the Young diagram mentioned above would have a stretchability $k - k'$. The notions can be clearly understood based on Figure 6 in [11]. All these can be applied to define the stretchability for correlation and for entanglement, combining the advantages of producibility and partitionability in a balanced way. For N particles, the stretchability of entanglement $N - 1$, if the state is fully N -partite entangled. The stretchability of entanglement is $-(N - 1)$, if the state is fully separable. k -

stretchability combines the advantages of k -partitionability and k -producibility, it is large if there are a small number of large correlated or entangled subsystems, and it is small, if the subsystems are smaller, or if there are too many of them. For example, for the states (2) and (3), the stretchability is +15 and -61, respectively. Also, stretchability of correlation/entanglement is decreasing for LO/LOCC. In short, k -stretchability is defined as a new quantity added to k -producibility and k -separability to characterize better the multipartite entanglement of the quantum state.

► BibTeX data

```
@article{Toth2020stretchinglimitsof,  
  doi = {10.22331/qv-2020-01-27-30},  
  url = {https://doi.org/10.22331/qv-2020-01-27-30},  
  title = {Stretching the limits of multiparticle entanglement},  
  author = {T\{o\}th, G\{e\}za},  
  journal = {{Quantum Views}},  
  publisher = {{Verein zur F\{o\}rderung des Open Access Publizierens in den  
Quantenwissenschaften}},  
  volume = {4},  
  pages = {30},  
  month = jan,  
  year = {2020}
```

► References

- [1] A. Acín, D. Bruß, M. Lewenstein, and A. Sanpera. Classification of mixed three-qubit states. Phys. Rev. Lett., 87: 040401, Jul 2001. 10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.040401.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.040401>
- [2] F. Verstraete, J. Dehaene, B. De Moor, and H. Verschelde. Four qubits can be entangled in nine different ways. Phys. Rev. A, 65: 052112, Apr 2002. 10.1103/PhysRevA.65.052112.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.65.052112>
- [3] Anders S. Sørensen and Klaus Mølmer. Entanglement and extreme spin squeezing. Phys. Rev. Lett., 86: 4431–4434, May 2001. 10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.4431.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.4431>
- [4] Otfried Gühne, Géza Tóth, and Hans J Briegel. Multipartite entanglement in spin chains. New J. Phys., 7 (1): 229, 2005. <http://stacks.iop.org/1367-2630/7/i=1/a=229>.
<http://stacks.iop.org/1367-2630/7/i=1/a=229>
- [5] Christian Gross, Tilman Zibold, Eike Nicklas, Jerome Esteve, and Markus K Oberthaler. Nonlinear atom interferometer surpasses classical precision limit. Nature (London), 464 (7292): 1165–1169, 2010. 10.1038/nature08919.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08919>

- [6] Bernd Lücke, Jan Peise, Giuseppe Vitagliano, Jan Arlt, Luis Santos, Géza Tóth, and Carsten Klempt. Detecting multiparticle entanglement of Dicke states. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 112: 155304, Apr 2014. 10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.155304.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.155304>
- [7] O. Hosten, N. J. Engelsen, R. Krishnakumar, and M. A. Kasevich. Measurement noise 100 times lower than the quantum-projection limit using entangled atoms. *Nature (London)*, 529: 505–508, January 2016. 10.1038/nature16176.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16176>
- [8] R. McConnell, H. Zhang, J. Hu, S. Čuk, and V. Vuletić. Entanglement with negative Wigner function of almost 3,000 atoms heralded by one photon. *Nature (London)*, 519: 439–442, March 2015. 10.1038/nature14293.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14293>
- [9] Florian Haas, Jürgen Volz, Roger Gehr, Jakob Reichel, and Jerome Esteve. Entangled states of more than 40 atoms in an optical fiber cavity. *Science*, 344 (6180): 180–183, 2014. 10.1126/science.1248905.
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1248905>
- [10] Yi-Quan Zou, Ling-Na Wu, Qi Liu, Xin-Yu Luo, Shuai-Feng Guo, Jia-Hao Cao, Meng Khoon Tey, and Li You. Beating the classical precision limit with spin-1 Dicke states of more than 10,000 atoms. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 115 (25): 6381–6385, Jun 2018. ISSN 1091-6490. 10.1073/pnas.1715105115.
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1715105115>
- [11] Szilárd Szalay. k -stretchability of entanglement, and the duality of k -separability and k -producibility. *Quantum*, 3: 204, Dec 2019. 10.22331/q-2019-12-02-204.
<https://doi.org/10.22331/q-2019-12-02-204>
- [12] Szilárd Szalay and Zoltán Kökényesi. Partial separability revisited: Necessary and sufficient criteria. *Phys. Rev. A*, 86: 032341, Sep 2012. 10.1103/PhysRevA.86.032341.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.032341>
- [13] Szilárd Szalay. Multipartite entanglement measures. *Phys. Rev. A*, 92: 042329, Oct 2015. 10.1103/PhysRevA.92.042329.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.92.042329>
- [14] Szilárd Szalay, Gergely Barcza, Tibor Szilvási, Libor Veis, and Örs Legeza. The correlation theory of the chemical bond. *Scientific Reports*, 7: 2237, May 2017. 10.1038/s41598-017-02447-z.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02447-z>
- [15] Michael Seevinck and Jos Uffink. Partial separability and entanglement criteria for multiqubit quantum states. *Phys. Rev. A*, 78 (3): 032101, Sep 2008. 10.1103/PhysRevA.78.032101.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.78.032101>

[16] Szilárd Szalay. Quantum entanglement in finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces, 2013. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1302.4654>.

[arXiv:1302.4654](https://arxiv.org/abs/1302.4654)

[17] Kyung Hoon Han and Seung-Hyeok Kye. Construction of three-qubit biseparable states distinguishing kinds of entanglement in a partial separability classification. Phys. Rev. A, 99: 032304, Mar 2019. [10.1103/PhysRevA.99.032304](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.99.032304).

<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.99.032304>

[18] Kyung Hoon Han, Seung-Hyeok Kye, and Szilárd Szalay. Partial separability/entanglement violates distributive rules, 2019. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1911.06496>.

[arXiv:1911.06496](https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.06496)

Cited by

[1] Géza Tóth and Florian Fröwis, "Uncertainty relations with the variance and the quantum Fisher information based on convex decompositions of density matrices", [Physical Review Research 4 1, 013075 \(2022\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.4.013075).

The above citations are from [Crossref's cited-by service](#) (last updated successfully 2023-10-25 15:38:02). The list may be incomplete as not all publishers provide suitable and complete citation data.

On [SAO/NASA ADS](#) no data on citing works was found (last attempt 2023-10-25 15:38:02).

This View is published in Quantum Views under the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International \(CC BY 4.0\)](#) license. Copyright remains with the original copyright holders such as the authors or their institutions.

